

FS 2009 Presentation Judging Form completed by (write name in BLOCK CAPITALS): _____

University _____ **Class** _____ **Car No** _____ **Judging Team Leader** _____

Score the following categories on the basis of 0 – 10 points each according to the following scale (any number or fraction along this scale may be used).

- 0 = Inadequate or no attempt
 2.5 = Attempted but below expectation
 5.0 = Average or expected
 7.5 = Above average but still lacking
 10 = Excellent, perfectly meets intent

A weighting of 2 is then used to give the “Content” score out of 20. All other categories have a weighting of 1, i.e. are scored out of 10.

Max Points	Assessed Area	Points Awarded	Comments (judges to highlight particularly strong or weak aspects of the presentation in each assessed area)
20	CONTENT Were the concepts presented appropriate and adequate to explain how the car meets the intent of the customer and could be manufactured? Were enough technical details presented without being boring?		
10	ORGANIZATION Were the concepts presented in a logical order progressing from basic concept and showing how the engineering accomplished the concept? Was it clear to the audience what was to be presented and what was coming next? Were distinct introduction and overview as well as summary and conclusions given?		
10	VISUAL AIDS Were visual aids used or clear visual references made to the car? Were illustrations visible for all of the audience?		
10	DELIVERY Did the presenter speak in a clear voice? Did the presenter show enthusiasm and promote confidence in the technical aspects? Did he/she maintain eye contact?		
10	QUESTIONS Did the answer illustrate that the team fully understood the question? Is there doubt that the team understood the answer? Did the team promote complete confidence in their response to the questions?		
60	TOTAL		<u>General Comments</u>
-25	Post-moderation penalties for non/late submission of Team/Car Profile		